



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,577	09/30/2003	Hong Jiang	42P17511	7893
759 Chui-Kiu Teresa BLAKELY SOK	-	EXAMINER WONG, ALLEN C		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT	HS	02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,577	JIANG, HONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen Wong	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. ure: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/03, 10/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement</u>: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to

Art Unit: 2621

specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Page 3

- (g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the

Art Unit: 2621

applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

- (I) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: A "Brief Summary of the Invention" section is needed to fulfill the contents of specification requirement.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

Art Unit: 2621

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Page 5

Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 19 defines a "machine-accessible medium that provides instructions that..." embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed "a machine-accessible medium that provides instructions that..." can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

An acceptable claim preamble would be "a computer-readable medium *encoded* with a computer program executable instructions for..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanami (6,122,317).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Hanami discloses a video encoder comprising:

a motion estimator to perform a motion search on input video data relative to a reference video frame to generate a plurality of motion vectors, the motion search comprises a rectangular motion search (fig.1, note the optimum motion vector is estimated from plural candidate motion vectors, where fig.5 discloses the rectangular motion search are is used with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1); and

a variable length coder to compress the input video data using the motion vectors (fig.2, element 7 compresses motion vectors data mvA and mvB).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Hanami discloses a transformer (fig.84, element 926) and a quantization unit (fig.84, element 928).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Hanami discloses a frame memory to store reference frame (fig.4, element 12).

Regarding claims 7, 19 and 25, Hanami discloses a machine-accessible medium, a system, and a method to determine relative movement of a pixel block from a first video frame to a second video frame, the method comprising:

performing a motion measurement on a plurality of motion search points that form a rectangular search region (fig.5 discloses the rectangular motion search are is

used with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1, where there are a plurality of motion search points or pixels);

finding a minimal motion search point among the plurality of motion search points based on result of the motion measurement (fig.1, note the optimum motion vector is estimated from plural candidate motion vectors, where fig.5 discloses the rectangular motion search are is used with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1 to obtain a minimum motion search point); and

finding a motion vector corresponding to the relative movement of the pixel block from the first video frame to the second video frame if the minimal motion search point is within an inner region of the rectangular search region (fig.1, Hanami discloses obtaining a minimum motion search point for obtaining the estimated optimum motion vector from plural candidate motion vectors, where X is from current frame data and Y is from reference frame data, where in fig.5, there is the inner region 20 of the rectangular search area 22 with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1).

Note claims 8-14, 20-24 and 26-29 have similar corresponding elements.

Regarding claim 15, Hanami discloses a method to compress video data comprising:

defining a first video frame as a reference video frame (fig.1, element Y is from the reference frame data);

performing a motion search on a second video frame relative to the reference video frame to determine a plurality of motion vectors of the second video frame relative to the reference video frame (fig.1, note the optimum motion vector is estimated from plural candidate motion vectors); and

reducing the video data to the reference video frame and the plurality of motion vectors of the second video frame, wherein the motion search includes performing motion measurement on a plurality of motion search points that form a rectangular search region within a pixel block (fig.5 discloses the rectangular motion search are is used with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1, where there are a plurality of motion search points or pixels, and that element X is from current frame data);

finding a minimal motion search point among the plurality of motion search points based on result of the motion measurement (fig.1, note the optimum motion vector is estimated from plural candidate motion vectors, where fig.5 discloses the rectangular motion search are is used with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1 to obtain a minimum motion search point); and

finding a motion vector corresponding to the relative movement of the pixel block from the first video frame to the second video frame if the minimal motion search point is within an inner region of the rectangular search region (fig.1, Hanami discloses obtaining a minimum motion search point for obtaining the estimated optimum motion vector from plural candidate motion vectors, where X is from current frame data and Y

Art Unit: 2621

is from reference frame data, where in fig.5, there is the inner region 20 of the rectangular search area 22 with horizontal dimensions ranging from -t2 to +t1, and vertical dimensions ranging from -r2 to +r1).

Note claims 16-18 have similar corresponding elements.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

Page 10

Allen Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

AW 2/5/07